



Deploying an Intrusion Detection Systems Solution for Internet Hosts

Douglas G. Conorich Global Solutions Manager Managed Security Services IBM Global Services conorich@us.ibm.com



The Business Problem

Companies need to open their networks to customers and suppliers while at the same time keeping hackers and malicious users out. However, security holes found in operating systems and applications along with a lack of security expertise often make it possible for the wrong users to access critical e-business systems, applications and data.



IBM





Number of Incidents



2003 Q1 = 42,586

Number of New Vulnerabilities



2003 Q1 = 959

Ø

business



Malicious Code Growth



Based on Symantec data



Misconceptions of Security





Experience shows that ...

...Users do not want to remove existing vuln.,
 javascript, shared files, E-mail attachments ...
 ...Vulnerabilities can not be removed.

...Vulnerabilities can not be removed,
 ✓ unsupported OS, TCP-IP, SNMP, etc.

...New systems also contain "old" vuln.,

... Secure + Secure / Secure

Real systems must cope with the existence of vulnerabilities.



Defending Your Site

Evaluate your security posture

- Comprehensive policies in place and in use
- ✓ Systems configured securely and checked

Detect security violations

- ✓ Identify attacks before they become serious
- Around-the-clock vigilance required

Respond to security incidents

- Containment, eradication, recovery
- Know what to do and how
- ✓ Speed is of the essence



What is **IDS**

What is IDS?

- IDS protects e-business by continuously watching critical networks & systems for patterns of misuse or abuse. If systems are threatened, IDS can notify you or take precautionary actions to prevent information theft or loss.
- IDS compliments your Firewall by monitoring the following internal activities:
 - Network scans

business

- DDoS Attacks
- Excessive failed logins
- Changes to system configuration & security settings
- Changes to operating system, application or data files
- Use of privileged accounts
- Granting system privileges

Why Deploy IDS?

Early Warning

husiness

- "You Don't Know What You Don't Know."
- Detection of "pre attack" reconnaissance"
- Nobody likes to be watched
- Minimization of reaction and recovery time
- Reduction of chances of success
- Minimization of recovery time
- Threat Documentation
 - Quantification of the problem
 - Quantification of effectiveness of policy
 - Helps drive improvement in security posture
 - Helps support security funding/spending
- Helps Demonstrates Due-Diligence
 - Detection of outbound attacks
 - DDOS Liability
 - Answering to "Irving"



Host Based IDS

business

- Agents deployed on critical systems
- > Monitors system:
 - * Processes
 - * Configurations
 - File systems
 - * Log files
 - * Other system parameters

Network IDS

- Deployed at critical network junctions
 - ***** Outside of DMZ
 - * Inside of DMZ
 - * Critical subnets
 - ***** Extranet connections
- Signature based
- Future Heuristic attack detection



Network and Host IDS Partnership





Common IDS Techniques

Statistical Analysis

summary, profile, trend analysis

Expert System or Knowledge-based

✓ rules about known vulnerabilities, user profiles

Signature Analysis

- Identify specific behavior
 - subject: owner of the event
 - object: target of the event
 - action: what caused the event
 - context: condition of the event



Deploying an IDS Solution Data to Knowledge



Overview of Types of Attacks

- Motive & Skill
- Reconnaissance
- Vulnerability mapping
- Initial access
- Privileged access
- Covert access





Target or Opportunity

Network is specifically targeted

- Corporate identity / ownership (ibm.com)
- Associated with identity / cause

Or

Network is target of opportunity

- ✓ Identified by automated tools
- ✓ Vulnerable
- ✓ Purely random







Monitor, Recognize & React





IDS Management

	Incident
Assessment	Situation Assessment
	Threat Assessment
	Data Mining
Data Relationship	Consolidation
	Correlation
	Normalization
Data Manipulation	Filtering
	Collection





What We Want to Avoid



IBM



Filtering & Anomaly Detection

- Idea: Only look at what's new/anomalous
- Problem: Risk to discard true positives
- Solution: Use data mining to
 - Understand alarms and their root causes
 - ✓ Preferably resolve root causes (fix, block, patch, ...)
 - \checkmark Use well-understood filters when resolving is no option
- Conclusion: The alarm load is reduced by a controlled and safe process
 - Minimal risk of discarding true positives
 - Reduced alarm load improves service quality



Filtering False Positives

Host-based IDS

✓ 0% - 90%

Network-based IDS

- ✓ Initially > 90%
- ✓ Ideally < 5%



Network-based Alarms

• Average sensor receives about 1M alerts per week

- ✓ Level 1 -- 82%
- ✓ Level 2 -- 18.08%
- ✓ Level 3 -- 0.04%



Normal Business or Hacked Every Day

Coverage:	7157 out of 7392 alarms!
Alarm:	SNMP Suspicious Get
SrcIP/SrcPort:	228.xxx.13.106 / [1025,4890]
DstIP/DstPort:	228.xxx.13.1 / 161
Context:	Oid Matched =S '1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2'
Time	Periodic with period 5 min
Structure:	

Interpretation: Network management tool:

- -- Periodicity
- -- Same cluster in previous months
- -- Source and destination belong to customer
- -- Destination is a router, Oid is traffic counter
- -- Unlike hacking behavior





Nimda

Coverage:	16493 out of 35808 alarms!
Alarm:	WWW WinNT cmd.exe Access
SrcIP/SrcPort:	228.xxx.*.* / [1099, 45815]
DstIP/DstPort:	228.xxx.13.* / 80
Context:	/winnt/system32/cmd.exe', QUERY
Time Structure:	bursty
Interpretation:	 NIMDA Worm that preferably attacks adjacent network blocks Source network is not customer network



Normalization

• What to normalize on?

- ✓ Time
- ✓ Attack Profile
 - DDoS
 - Malicious Code
- ✓ CVE
- ✓ Source
- ✓ Target

business

Normalization

• *Definition:* Identify candidate events belonging to the same categories and groups them into subgroups or "clusters"

- IDS Knowledge Base
 - Databases (callout logs, knowledge of your environment)
 - Industry Statistics (from MSSP)
- Signature Encyclopedia
- Classification of Attack Types



Aggregation

• Definition: Displaying a single event with a count function to reduce analyst load in analyzing large event sequences.

Compounding Aggregation

- Sensor Level
- IDS Infrastructure
- IDS Console





Correlation

Taking pieces of data from disparate data sources and combine them in meaningful ways to illustrate the situation or create a larger picture of the systems involved.



Examples of Correlation Rules



Example of Engine definition (correlation) in XML:

<engine name="correlation" class="com.tivoli.RiskManager.Agent.Engine.Engine" persist="NO"> <set key="RMA_conf" value="C:\RiskManager41\RISKMGR\etc\incident_engine.conf" /> </engine>

Consolidation

- Correlation Many events to one intrusion
- Consolidation Many events to multiple intrusions
- Also know as Post-Event Correlation

Low & slow attacks are

husines

- ✓ Hard to detect because their trace is minimal
- Dangerous because indicative of dedicated attackers
- Detection of slow & low attacks
 - Group/correlate alarms over prolonged timeframes
 - Reassess the combined severity, and
 - Raise meta-alerts when severity exceeds a threshold



Low and Slow attack





Cross-customer Consolidation

... in the month of October'01

Sources / Attackers

202.128.131.172, 209.196.44.88, 217.35.104.29, ...

1 Probe

MSS Customer X

Target

Cross-sensor correlation caught these very low attacks

▶202.128.131.172	45 Probes	31 MSS customers
▶209.196.44.88	54 Probes	21 MSS customers
▶217.35.104.29	25 Probes	22 MSS customers

By the way!

These attackers were not only very low, but also extremely slow!

✓ 202.128.131.172 : 45 probes over 17 days!

✓ 209.196.44.88 : 54 probes over 10 days!

✓ 217.35.104.29 : 25 probes over 5 days!

 Conclusion: Cross-sensor correlation is a reliable way to detect the lowest and slowest attacks!

e

business

Data Mining

- Pattern Matching
- Many techniques and sub-techniques
- Clustering, classification, association rules, regression,
- Key question: Which one is most appropriate?
 - Each technique has drawbacks of its own
 - Results that are trivial, hard to understand, redundant, misleading, ..

busines



Assessment Effectiveness of Alarms

Threat Assessment

✓ Understanding whether or not the system is susceptible

Situation Assessment

Understanding how critical the system is

Incident

 Knowing when and how long a system has been under attack



Assessment Examples

Unix based web servers

- ✓ IIS buffer overflow signatures
- ✓ High alarm level.

Why not filter them out?

- ✓ We like to keep a eye on the network
- Keeping vulnerabilities a customer isn't susceptible to helps with the big picture



Using Data Visualizing Tools



949-		,		Src Ipaddr	E	Dst Port	4	Dst Ipaddr	8	Signature	문 Timestamp	32 🔺
1013 1019 1075 1146 1189 1254 1305 1380			148	.182.24.2 .182.2112		949 1015 - 1031 - 1107 - 1152 1224 - 1300 -	I1	1 64.53.42.129		CheckPoint Firewall_RI	1039806801 DP	
1397 1414 1433 1445 1456 1467 1467			169	.2.147.2		1968 1397 1415- 1434 1451 - 1463	1	1 69.2.147.2		impossible_IP_Packet1	10	
1482 1493 1514 1574 1599 1623			10.1	70.16.4 • .185.1.19 •		1473 1480 1493 1514 1674 1616	1	K0.170.7.127		TCP SVN Part Sween		
1695 1695 1747 1853 2035 2086 2211			205 64.2	.173.113.44 225.14.1 <mark>52</mark>		1625 1677 1745 1751 2023 - 2086		10,43,32.21		MS_NetMeeting_RDS_C MS_NetMeeting_RDS_C IR_Fragments_overlap1		
2499 2569 2631 2646 2995 3039 1039470K 1039)))) 555K 1039640K 1	1039725K 103981	10.1 205 DK	70.16.2		2211 2501 2573 2639 2818 3021	1	10.170.16.4		FetchMail_Arbitrary_Cod	le 1039471185	
Src Ipaddr	Dstipaddr		Dst Port	Severity	Signature	*		·•·			Tim	estamp32
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	1 326	4	 FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39539805
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	1599		FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31 cution 21	140			10	39544185
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	2305	4	FetchMail	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39554280
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	2646		FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39562755
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	1469		FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39644629
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	1305	4	FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39639910
64.225.14.152	169.2.140.114	4	1 307	4	FetchMail_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	140			10	39640096
04.220.14.102	160.2.140.114	4	1625	4	FetchMall_	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	1140			11	39/3000/
04.220.14.102	160.2.140.114	4	1020	4	FotobMoil	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	1140			10	38733148 20644607
64.220.14.102	160.2.140.114	4	1473	4	FetchMoil	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	1140			10	30644007
64.220.14.102	169.2.140.114	4	14/3	4	FetchMoil	Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 31	1140			10	33044333
64.220.14.102 64.206.14.162	160.2.140.114	4	1470	4	FotebMoil	Arbitrary_Code_Exe Arbitrary_Code_Exe	cution 21	140			10	20644770



Post Event Correlation





Real World Example



February 1, 2003 (Saturday)

	HTTP IIS DOT DOT EXECUTE Bug	Med	www	12	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	HTTP IIS DOT DOT DENIAL Bug	Med	www	10	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW WinNT cmd.exe Access	High	www	14	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	2	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW IIS Double Decode Error	Med	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40
•	WWW IIS Double Decode Error	Med	www	4	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g.7	02/01 21:40

•	TTP IIS DOT DOT EXECUTE Bug	Med	WWW	12	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	HTTP IIS DOT DOT DENIAL Bug	Med	www	10	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW WinNT cmd.exe Access	High	www	14	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	2	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW IIS Unicode Attack	High	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW IIS Double Decode Error	Med	www	1	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	WWW IIS Double Decode Error	Med	www	4	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44
•	Root.exe access	High	WWW	2	aa.bbb.cc.234	unknown	eee.ff.g71	02/01 21:44



0

business



Excerpt from Daily Report

February 1, 2003 (Saturday)

	Net Sweep-Echo	Low Recon	1	61.177.115.188	unknown	0.0.0.0	02/01 08:00
•	Net Sweep-Echo	Low Recon	1	61.177.115.188	unknown	aa.bb.ccc.249	02/01 07:27
	Net Sweep-Echo	Low Recon	1	61.177.115.188	unknown	aa.bb.ccc.9	02/01 08:00
•	Root.exe access	High WWW	1	61.185.215.184	unknown	aa.bb.ccc.249	02/01 18:12
	Root.exe access	High WWW	1	61.185.215.190	unknown	aa.bb.ccc.249	02/01 18:12

Suspicious Mail Attachment Low UnAcc 1 62.114.33.104 unknown aa.bb.ccc.11 02/01 12:05



Root.exe access

This event triggers upon detecting a http request for root.exe. The NIMDA worm exploits vulnerabilities in Microsoft Internet Information Server (IIS), Internet Explorer (IE), and Outlook (MAPI) to infect workstations running Windows 95/98/ME, workstations running Windows NT/2000, and servers/domain controllers running Window NT/2000.

Countermeasure(s): It is highly recommended that organizations patch all Windows NT/2000 hosts running IIS (version 4.0 and 5.0) to mitigate IIS buffer overflows and Code Red II backdoors.



Brio Query of Suspicious Offenders Across the Customer's Network (.184,.190)

🕌 BrioQuery - Untitled							_ 🗆 🗙
📓 Eile Edit View Ins	sert F <u>o</u> rmat <u>R</u> esults <u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp					_ 8 ×
D 📽 🖬 🎒 🗟	× ∛ ∎ ∎ + 🖻 Y	$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[\begin{array}{c} z \\ A \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} z \\ A \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \Sigma \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} z \\ \Delta \end{array} \right]$	Process 🔻 🖇		Ş		
Arial	β▼A A B I U		• 🖄 • 🛓 •				
Results			h.		<u>Limits(</u>	(<u>0)</u> <u>Sort(0)</u> <u>Outliner</u>	⇔ ⇒
Sensor Pid	Signature	Dst Port	Dst Ipaddr	Src Port	Src Ipaddr	Time Event	
1 10094	Root_exe_access 53 80		249	43262	61.185.215.190	02/01/03 12:12 PM	1
2 10094	Root_exe_access 53 80		249	43271	61.185.215.184	02/01/03 12:12 PM	1
							-
•							•
Sensor Pid , Signature ,	, Dst Port , Dst Ipaddr , Src Port , Src Ip	paddr, Time Event					
OCE: Tec.oce Server: DE	32			2	of 2 Rows	02/06/03 14:52:56	0-0
				10.40			



APNIC Lookup on Suspicious IP's





Brio Query of Suspicious Offenders (Partial Class C - 61.185.215.128-191) Across the Customer's Network

🖁 Brio(Query - Untitled							_ 🗆 🗙
🥈 Eile	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Insert	: F <u>o</u> rmat <u>R</u> esults <u>T</u> ools	s <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp					_ # X
0	€ 🖬 🖨 🖪 🕯	X 🚿 🔲 🖪 🕇 🖻	Υ \$1 \$1 Σ Ø	Process 🔹 🗞		?		
Arial	9	▼A s B I	⊻ ≡ ≡ ≡ ⊿	• <u>></u> • <u>A</u> •				
Resu	ılts					<u>Limits(</u>	<u>0) Sort(0) Outliner</u>	⇔ ⇒
8 - 31 				2		2		
	Sensor Pid	Signature	Dst Port	Dst Ipaddr	Src Port	Src lpaddr	Time Event	
1 10	094	Root_exe_access 53	80	8.249	43262	61.185.215.190	02/01/03 12:12 PM	
2 10	094	Root_exe_access 53	80	8.249	43271	61.185.215.184	02/01/03 12:12 PM	
								•
								•
Sens	sor Pid , Signature , Ds	t Port , Dst Ipaddr , Src Port	, Src Ipaddr , Time Event					
OCE: Te	c.oce Server: DB2				2	of 2 Rows	02/06/03 14:52:56	0-0



...same results. Only 2 attacks from Chinese oil company directed at RCL.



Brio Query of Suspicious Offenders (Partial Class C - 61.185.215.128-191) Across the Full Customer Set

ſ	▼ ▼ A A B I	⊻∣≡≡≡	2 • 2 •	A -			
Results						<u>Limits(0)</u> <u>Sort(</u>	<u>1) Outliner</u> 🗲
		Det De d			On Dest	One to estate	
1 40004	Id Signature	DSt Port	10 40 40	enpador ee-ako	SIC POIL	Src Ipaddr	00/01/00:40:40
2 10094	Root ava access 53	00	12.42	249	43202	61.105.215.190	02/01/03 12:12
2 10094	IIQ CGL Double Dov	00	12.42	249	93271	61 105 215 105	02/01/03 12.12
4 10017	IIS CGL Double Der	80	155.1	00.0	20370	61 185 215 185	01/23/03 12.28
5 10017	Root eve arress 53	80	155.1	9.00 9.60	65094	61 185 215 186	07/05/03 09:11
6 10017	Poot eve access 53	00	155.1	00.0	65004	61 105 215 106	02/05/03 08:11
7 10017	Root eve access 53	80	155.1	00.0	65100	61 195 215 199	02/05/03 08:11
8 10017	Root eve arress 53	80	155.1	0.00	65100	61 185 215 189	02/05/03 08:11
9 10017	IIS CGL Double Der	80	155.1	0.00	65051	61 185 215 183	02/05/03 08:11
10 10017	IIS_CGL_Double_Det	80	155.1	9.60	65051	61 185 215 183	02/05/03 08:11
11 10017	IIS_CGL_Double_Der	80	155.1	9.60	65132	61 185 215 189	02/05/03 08:11
12 10017	IIS CGL Double Der	80	155.1	9.60	65132	61 185 215 189	02/05/03 08:11
13 10017	IIS CGI Double Der	80	155.1	8.60	65022	61 185 215 185	02/05/03 08:12
14 10017	IIS CGI Double Der	80	155.1	8.60	65022	61 185 215 185	02/05/03 08:12
15 10017	IIS CGI Double Der	80	155.1	8.60	65032	61 185 215 180	02/05/03 08:12
16 10017	IIS CGI Double Der	80	155.1	8.60	65032	61,185,215,180	02/05/03 08:12
17 10017	IIS CGI Double Der	80	155.1	8.60	27718	61.185.215.185	01/23/03 12:28
18 10017	IIS CGI Double Dec	80	155.1	8.60	65152	61.185.215.187	02/05/03 08:12
19 10017	IIS CGI Double Dec	80	155.1	8.60	65152	61.185.215.187	02/05/03 08:12
20 10017	IIS CGI Double Dec	80	155.1	8.60	27315	61.185.215.190	01/23/03 12:28
21 10017	Root_exe_access 53	80	155.1	9.60	36190	61.185.215.176	01/22/03 10:38
22 10017	Root_exe_access 53	80	155.1	8.60	36190	61.185.215.176	01/22/03 10:38
23 10017	IIS_CGI_Double_Dec	80	155.1	9.60	35929	61.185.215.182	01/22/03 10:38
24 10017	IIS_CGI_Double Dec	80	155.1	8.60	36610	61.185.215.188	01/22/03 10:38
25 10017	IIS_CGI_Double_Dec	80	155.1	8.60	36724	61.185.215.188	01/22/03 10:38
26 10017	IIS_CGI_Double_Dec	80	155.1	8.60	26978	61.185.215.182	01/23/03 12:28
•							







IDS Deployment Options

Do nothing

- Deploy with a "kinda sorta" strategy
- Invest in and build "best in class";
 - People
 - Technology
 - Processes
 - Procedures
 - Training
 - Redundancy
- Outsource / Out-task
 - Recognized "best in class" vendor
 - Brand "X"
- Co-Manage
 - Short term or Long term
 - Recognized "best in class" vendor
 - Brand "X"



Concluding Thoughts

Security and privacy are really <u>business issues</u> with real business impacts.

Effective security and privacy <u>is a process</u> that is built on documented, solid, understandable, and well communicated policies.

Security and privacy can not be obtained solely through tools or technology because it is a <u>moving target</u> and there are <u>no "silver bullets"</u>.

Customer trust is based on <u>Security</u>, <u>Privacy</u>, and <u>Communication</u>.