

Network Security in the Patched Environment

Guy Helmer, Ph.D.

Palisade Systems, Inc.

Introduction

- Target audience: Network Managers
- Topic: Transport and Application Network-layer techniques
 - Defend vulnerabilities before, during, and after applying patches
 - Protecting against likely future security problems
 - Detecting new problems

Security Areas

- Data disclosure
 - Trade secrets
- Data modification
 - Accounting records
- Denial of service
 - Database access

Introduction

- Vulnerabilities of patched/partially patched/unpatched systems
- Worms and viruses
- Onion approach
 - Defense in depth

Vendor patching facilities

- Range from fully-manual to fully-automated
- Deployment may be limited by
 - Testing requirements
 - Maintenance windows
 - Lack of vendor support

Patch-related Vulnerabilities

- Vendor lag time
- Prior to patch installation
- Undesired side effects of patches
- When patches failure to protect
- Reverse-engineered attacks based on patches (e.g., Sasser)

Patching Facilities

- Microsoft
 - Windows Update / Software Update Services (SUS)
 - Systems Management Server (SMS)
- Symantec ON iCommand
- Shavlik HFNetCheckPro

Mitigating the vulnerabilities at layers 4 and 7

- System inventory & Vulnerability assessment
- Compartmentalization
- Restricting applications
- Monitoring

Inventory & Vulnerability Assessment

- Hardware and software
- Points of ingress
 - Internet
 - Laptops
 - VPNs
 - Floppies/ZIP disks/USB keychains

Compartmentalization by Firewalls

- Layers 3 & 4 (IP/TCP)
 - “Classic” firewall
 - Host firewall
- Layer 7 (Applications)
 - SMTP (anti-virus/spam) filter
 - HTTP (web site) filter
 - Peer-to-peer filter

Compartmentalization by Network Firewalls

- Layers 3 & 4
 - Router access control lists
 - CheckPoint Firewall-1
 - Cisco PIX

Compartmentalization by Application Firewalls

- Layer 7
 - Email spam, virus and worm filtering
 - SpamAssassin
 - BrightMail
 - HTTP
 - Symantec Web Security

Compartmentalization by Firewalls

- Pros
 - Flexibility
 - Change configuration to protect critical systems during major situations
 - Require authentication for network access
 - Cost
 - Available in many routers and switches
 - Can build a cheap box to implement (e.g., Linux, FreeBSD, OpenBSD packet filtering firewall)

Compartmentalization by Firewalls

- Issues
 - Flexibility
 - Overlapping network access
 - Configuration
 - Cost
 - Number of devices needed
 - Performance
 - Increased network latency
 - Decreased bandwidth
 - Additional points of failure

Compartmentalization by IDS/IPS

- Recognize/counteract attacks
 - Prioritize patches
 - Verify patch solution
 - If IDS/IPS identifies successful/unsuccessful intrusions
 - Tune firewalls/filters/IPS to close vulnerabilities until patches can be applied

Compartmentalization by IDS

- Pros
 - Detect attacks and respond
- Issues
 - False positives
 - Signature updates

Compartmentalization by VLANs

- Leverage layer 2 Ethernet and/or Layer3 IP switching capabilities
- Separate subnets via firewall, proxy server, or filtering router
 - E.g., separate VLAN for laptops, linked to internal network through a firewall

Compartmentalization by VLANs

- Pros
 - Usually available at little extra cost
- Issues
 - Configuration complexity
 - Overlapping groups
 - Enforcement of VLAN membership
 - Bandwidth use

Compartmentalization by VPNs

- Isolate untrusted/insecure systems or networks
 - IPsec, SSL, L2TP
- Protect data in transit, plus:
- Use firewall, proxy server, or filtering router to isolate VPN termination point

Compartmentalization by VLANs/VPNs

- Issues
 - Network engineering
 - Placement of devices
 - Maintenance of configuration

Restricting Applications

- Limit allowed applications
 - Prohibit spyware, adware, peer-to-peer applications, other malware
 - Lavasoft AdAware
 - Palisade PacketHound

Restricting Applications

- Pros
 - Limit points of vulnerability
- Issues
 - Extra maintenance

Detecting

- Intrusion Detection & Prevention Systems
 - Network
 - Snort
 - Network Associates IntruShield
 - Symantec ManHunt
 - Application
 - Palisade PacketHound
 - Host-based
 - ZoneAlarm
 - McAfee Desktop Firewall
 - Symantec Intruder Alert

Detecting

- Anomaly Detection
 - Tends to require manual analysis of graphs or reports
 - Network usage trends by port and/or IP
 - Cisco NetFlow
 - MRTG, ntop
 - Application trend analysis
 - Palisade PacketHound

Detecting

- Pros
 - Stay abreast of network activity
 - Automatically isolate problems
- Cons
 - False positives
 - False negatives
 - Maintenance

Summary and Conclusion

- System inventory
- Vulnerability assessment
- Compartmentalization
- Monitoring